# EXHIBIT "O"

ENDORSED KENNETH SULZER (SBN 120253) 1 FILED BARBARA I. ANTONUCCI (SBN 209039) CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE, LLP ALAMEDA COUNTY 2 50 California, Suite 1625 NOV 2 2 2017 3 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.918.3000 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Facsimile: 415.918.3005 By: ERICA BAKER, Deputy 5 Attorneys for Defendant CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC. 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 10 DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ and Case No. RG17878854 LAMAR PATTERSON, an individual 11 **DEFENDANT CITISTAFF** Plaintiff, 12 SOLUTIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED VS. 13 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC.; 14 CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.; WEST VALLEY STAFFING GROUP; 15 CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES, INC. and DOES 1-10, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEFENDANT CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, CASE NO. RG17878854

#### **GENERAL DENIAL**

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 431.30, Defendant CitiStaff Solutions, Inc. ("Defendant") hereby answers the unverified Complaint for Damages of Plaintiffs Demetric Di-Az, Owen Diaz and Lamar Patterson ("Plaintiffs") by generally and specifically denying each and every allegation, statement, matter, and purported cause of action contained therein. Defendant also specifically denies that it is liable to Plaintiffs for the sum or sums alleged or for any other amount whatsoever.

Defendant asserts the following affirmative defenses to each and every cause of action alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint:

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to State a Claim)

As a first, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Statute of Limitations)

As a second, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations including, but not limited to, California Civil Code Sections 335.1, 338, 340, 343, and 361, California Government Code Section 12965, and 28 U.S.C. Section 1658.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies)

As a third, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs failed to comply with the applicable procedural and administrative prerequisites including timely charge filing requirements and the exhaustion of all administrative remedies under federal or state law.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### (Legitimate Non-Discriminatory, Non-Retaliatory Reasons)

As a fourth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges, without admitting that it engaged in or made any of the acts, conduct, or statements attributed to it in the Complaint, that any acts, conduct or statements by Defendant or anyone acting on its behalf were justified, in good faith, and for legitimate, nondiscriminatory reasons that were wholly unrelated to Plaintiffs' alleged race or any protected activity.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Lack of Knowledge)

As a fifth, separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth herein, Defendant alleges that it had no knowledge of any discriminatory or otherwise unlawful behavior by any of its employees, agents, or representatives.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Reasonable Care)

As a sixth, separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth herein, Defendant alleges that it exercised reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct any discriminatory or other unlawful behavior.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Course and Scope of Employment)

As a seventh, separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth herein, Defendant alleges that to the extent employees engaged in any discriminatory or otherwise unlawful behavior, the alleged acts were committed outside the course and scope of employment.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2

#### (Exclusive Remedy of Workers' Compensation)

4

As an eighth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action set forth therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the exclusive

5

## remedy provisions of the California Workers' Compensation Act.

6

7

## NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unclean Hands, Estoppel, Waiver, Laches)

8

As a ninth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that the Complaint is barred by the doctrines of unclean

9

hands, estoppel, waiver and/or laches.

10 11

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12

(Bona Fide Occupational Qualification)

13

As a tenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that the employment practices complained are based on a

15

14

bona fide occupation qualification necessary for the normal operation of the business.

16

#### ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17

(Same Decision/Mixed Motive)

18 19

action alleged therein, Defendant alleges, without admitting that it engaged in or made any of the

As an eleventh, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of

20

acts, conduct, or statements attributed to it in the Complaint, if it is found that Defendant's

21

actions were motivated by both discriminatory and nondiscriminatory reasons, the legitimate

22

nondiscriminatory reasons alone would have induced Defendant to make the same decision

23

regarding Plaintiffs' employment.

24

25

26

28

27

4

#### 

## 

## 

## 

### 

## 

#### 

## 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (No Authorization or Ratification)

As a twelfth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that any unlawful or wrongful acts, if any, taken by its agents or employees were outside the course and scope of their authority and such acts, if any, were not authorized, ratified, or condoned by Defendant, nor did Defendant know nor should it have known of such acts.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Avoidable Consequences)

As a thirteenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because of their unreasonable failure to avail themselves of their employer's measures to prevent and correct discrimination, the use of which would have prevented the harm Plaintiffs allege that they suffered.

#### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Offset)

As a fourteenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, if Plaintiffs sustained any damage as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, which Defendant denies, Defendant is entitled to an offset to the extent that Plaintiffs received income, benefits, or money from other sources.

#### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Unjust Enrichment)

As a fifteenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint herein, Defendant asserts that Plaintiffs are seeking to recover more than Plaintiffs are entitled to recover in this case, and an award of the judgment sought by Plaintiff would unjustly enrich Plaintiff.

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

## 

### 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (After-Acquired Evidence)

As a sixteenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, or in the alternative, Plaintiffs' claims for relief, including economic damages, should be denied and/or limited pursuant to the doctrine of "after-acquired evidence" to the extent the doctrine applies in this case.

#### SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Release)

As a seventeenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent entered into any agreement discharging or waiving their right to assert the claims against Defendant pleaded in the Complaint, the Complaint and the causes of action alleged therein are barred by the doctrine of release.

#### EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Arbitration Agreement)

As an eighteenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent that Plaintiffs are subject to binding arbitration of their claims and they have waived bringing such claims.

#### NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to Mitigate)

As a nineteenth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs are not entitled to damages to the extent they failed to take reasonable steps to mitigate their damages, if any; or alternatively, Plaintiffs have mitigated their damages, and therefore, are barred from recovering any damages in this action.

#### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (No Entitlement to Punitive Damages)

As a twentieth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover punitive or exemplary damages because the Complaint fails to state sufficient facts to maintain any claim for punitive or exemplary damages and because Defendant did not act willfully, wantonly or with malice with respect to Plaintiffs' employment. Defendant maintained a suitable anti-discrimination policy in effect at all material times.

#### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Punitive Damages Unconstitutional)

As a twenty-first, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover any punitive or exemplary damages as prayed for in the Complaint on the grounds that any award of punitive or exemplary damages in general and/or any such award as applied to the facts of this specific action would violate Defendant's constitutional rights under the provisions of the United States and California Constitutions, including but not limited to the due process clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and the excessive fines and cruel and unusual punishment clauses of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

#### TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Bane, Ralph and Unruh Acts Unconstitutional)

As a twenty-second, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Plaintiffs' claims are barred to the extent they infringe on Defendant's constitutional rights under the provisions of the United States and California Constitutions.

#### TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Third Party Liability)

As a twenty-third, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that to the extent that any of the alleged wrongs were committed by others, any and all such claims are barred as against Defendant in their entirety.

#### 2

### 3 4

## 5

#### 6

#### 7

## 8

### 9 10

### 11

### 12

#### 13

### 14

## 15

#### 16

#### 17

#### 18

#### 19

### 20

#### 21

## 22

## 24

## 25

#### 26

#### 27

#### 28

#### TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Unruh Act Inapplicable to Employment Discrimination)

As a twenty-fourth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims of employment discrimination are not cognizable under the Unruh Act.

#### TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Bona Fide Seniority or Merit System)

As a twenty-fifth, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred to the extent that employment decisions were made in accordance with a bona fide seniority or merit system.

#### TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to take Advantage of Corrective Opportunities)

As a twenty-sixth separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint and every cause of action alleged therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by Defendant to avoid harm or otherwise.

//

//

- //
- //
- //
- 23 //

//

- //
- //
- //
- //

#### TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 (Reservation of Rights) As a twenty-seventh, separate, and affirmative defense to the Complaint, Defendant 3 presently has insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to whether it 4 5 may have additional, as yet unstated affirmative defenses available, and it reserves the right to assert additional defenses in the event that investigation or discovery indicate that they would be 6 appropriate. 7 8 9 10 **PRAYER** 11 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays as follows: 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint; 12 2. That Plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed, in its entirety, with prejudice; 13 That Defendant recover its costs of suit and attorneys' fees; 3. 14 15 4. That the Court rule on all pleaded defenses supported by the evidence; and 5. That Defendant be awarded such other and further relief as the court may deem 16 17 proper. 18 19 November 22, 2017 CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & 20 DATED: PROPHETE, LLP 21 22 23 Barbara I. Antonucci Attorneys for Defendant 24 CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC. 25 26 27 28

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. I am employed at the law offices of CONSTANGY, BROOKS, SMITH & PROPHETE, LLP, and my business address is: 3 50 California Street, Suite 1625, San Francisco, California 94111 4 On November 22, 2017, I served the **DEFENDANT CITISTAFF SOLUTION, INC.'S** 5 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed 6 as follows: 7 Lawrence A. Organ Attorneys for Plaintiffs Navruz Avloni 8 California Civil Rights Law Group 332 San Anselmo Avenue 9 San Anselmo, CA 94960 10 Tel: 415-453-4740 Fax: 415-785-7352 11 larry@civilrightsca.com navruz@civilrightsca.com 12 13 (BY MAIL) The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am  $\mathbf{X}$ 14 "readily" familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary 15 course of business. I am aware that on motion of a party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after 16 date of deposit for mailing an affidavit. 17 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that 18 the above is true and correct. 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 Executed on November 22, 2017 in San Francisco, Galifornia. 22 Cristi Shanahan 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE